



Survey on the Korean Social Movement after the
1960s : Organizations

CODE BOOK

Korea Social Science Data Archive

All works using or referring KOSSDA data collections should acknowledge the data sources by means of standard bibliographic citations. Citations must appear in footnotes or the reference section of publications.

KOSSDA Data Citation Requirement

Principal Investigator, Year of Collection, Title, Producer, Distributor, Data ID No, Version No

The bibliographic citation for this data collection is :

Yoon, Sang-Chul. 2003. Survey on the Korean Social Movement after the 1960s : Organizations. Producer: Academy of Hanshin University. Distributor: KOSSDA. Year of Distribution: 2009. A1-2003-0072-Eng

The bibliographic citation for this codebook is :

Korea Social Science Data Archive. 2013. *Survey on the Korean Social Movement after the 1960s : Organizations Code Book*. pp.1-30.

SURVEY ON THE KOREAN SOCIAL MOVEMENT AFTER THE 1960S :
ORGANIZATIONS CODE BOOK

Copyrights © 2013 KOSSDA

For the codebook of this data collection, all rights are reserved to KOSSDA. It may not be copied, transmitted, published or redistributed without permission.

Study Description

<i>Study Title:</i>	Survey on the Korean Social Movement after the 1960s : Organizations
<i>Fieldwork Dates:</i>	January, 2003
<i>Principal Investigator:</i>	Yoon, Sang-Chul
<i>Producers:</i>	Academy of Hanshin University
<i>Sample Type:</i>	
<i>Fieldwork Institute:</i>	Academy of Hanshin University
<i>Fieldwork Methods:</i>	
<i>Number of Cases:</i>	123 (valid)
<i>Geographic Coverage:</i>	
<i>Universe:</i>	Social movement organizations
<i>Distributor:</i>	Korea Social Science Data Archive (KOSSDA)

Please note

In the codebook, each frequency table consists of a variable name, question, and frequency table. The first column, RESPONSE, indicates response categories and the second column, PUNCH, is a value for each response category. The third and fourth columns, FREQ and PERCENT, show the number of individuals and percentage of individuals belonging to a specific response category. The last column VALID % is the percentage of individuals who are assigned to a specific response category without missing data, such as DK (Don't know), NA (No answer), and NAP (Not applicable).

q1_1 Official name of organization

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q1_2 Abbreviated name of organization

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q2_1 When was organization founded: Year

Q2. When was the organization founded? (Year)

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
1913	1913	1	0.8	0.8
1914	1914	1	0.8	0.8
1922	1922	1	0.8	0.8
1948	1948	1	0.8	0.8
1957	1957	2	1.6	1.6
1961	1961	1	0.8	0.8
1966	1966	1	0.8	0.8
1969	1969	1	0.8	0.8
1972	1972	1	0.8	0.8
1974	1974	3	2.4	2.4
1976	1976	1	0.8	0.8
1979	1979	1	0.8	0.8
1980	1980	1	0.8	0.8
1982	1982	1	0.8	0.8
1983	1983	4	3.3	3.3
1984	1984	3	2.4	2.4
1985	1985	3	2.4	2.4
1986	1986	3	2.4	2.4
1987	1987	5	4.1	4.1
1988	1988	7	5.7	5.7
1989	1989	8	6.5	6.5
1990	1990	5	4.1	4.1
1991	1991	7	5.7	5.7
1992	1992	5	4.1	4.1
1993	1993	5	4.1	4.1
1994	1994	7	5.7	5.7

1995	1995	5	4.1	4.1
1996	1996	3	2.4	2.4
1997	1997	7	5.7	5.7
1998	1998	6	4.9	4.9
1999	1999	12	9.8	9.8
2000	2000	5	4.1	4.1
2001	2001	3	2.4	2.4
2002	2002	2	1.6	1.6
2003	2003	1	0.8	0.8
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q2_2 When was organization founded: Month

Q2. When was the organization founded? (Month)

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
1	1	4	3.3	3.3
2	2	10	8.1	8.1
3	3	5	4.1	4.1
4	4	14	11.4	11.4
5	5	11	8.9	8.9
6	6	6	4.9	4.9
7	7	12	9.8	9.8
8	8	4	3.3	3.3
9	9	21	17.1	17.1
10	10	6	4.9	4.9
11	11	11	8.9	8.9
12	12	12	9.8	9.8
NA	99	7	5.7	5.7
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q3 Initial form of organization

Q3. What was the initial form of the organization?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Legally registered organization	1	76	61.8	61.8
Underground organization	2	23	18.7	18.7
Semi-legal organization	3	20	16.3	16.3

DK/NA	9	4	3.3	3.3
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q4 Social issue that motivated founding of organization

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q5 Organization that was forerunner of the current organization

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q6_1 How were founding members of organization related

Q6. How were the founding members of the organization related?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
School alumni and colleagues	1	20	16.3	16.3
Acquaintances and colleagues from same region of the country	2	2	1.6	1.6
Interacted through social movements	3	83	67.5	67.5
Other	4	18	14.6	14.6
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q6_2 How were founding members of organization related: Other

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q7_1 Who were founding member of organization(1)

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q7_2 Who were founding member of organization(2)

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q7_3 Who were founding member of organization(3)

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q7_4 Who were founding member of organization(4)

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q7_5 Who were founding member of organization(5)

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q8_1 Location that headquarters was located(1)

Q8. Where is (was) the headquarters of the organization located? If moved, please fill-in in the order of the move. (If there is no headquarters, please fill in your main area of activity.)

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Daejeon		2	1.6	1.6
Jongno		1	0.8	0.8
NA		4	3.3	3.3
Seoul		114	92.7	92.7
USA		1	0.8	0.8
Yeongdeungpo		1	0.8	0.8
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q8_2 Location that headquarters was located(2)

Q8. Where is (was) the headquarters of the organization located? If moved, please fill-in in the order of the move. (If there is no headquarters, please fill in your main area of activity.)

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Cheongju		1	0.8	0.8
Chungcheongnam Geumsan		1	0.8	0.8
Gangwon		1	0.8	0.8
NA		4	3.3	3.3
NAP		109	88.6	88.6
Seoul		6	4.9	4.9
Yeongdeungpo		1	0.8	0.8
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q8_3 Location that headquarters was located(3)

Q8. Where is (was) the headquarters of the organization located? If moved, please fill-in in the order of the move. (If there is no headquarters, please fill in your main area of activity.)

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
NA		4	3.3	3.3
NAP		117	95.1	95.1
Seoul		2	1.6	1.6
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q8_4 Location that headquarters was located(4)

Q8. Where is (was) the headquarters of the organization located? If moved, please fill-in in the order of the move. (If there is no headquarters, please fill in your main area of activity.)

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
NA		4	3.3	3.3
NAP		118	95.9	95.9
Seoul		1	0.8	0.8
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q9 Organization structure

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Branch Organization		3	2.4	2.4
Individual		68	55.3	55.3
Member		1	0.8	0.8
NA		37	30.1	30.1
organization		12	9.8	9.8
Region		1	0.8	0.8
representative		1	0.8	0.8
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q9_1 Number of total members in initial stage

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
4	4	1	0.8	0.8
5	5	1	0.8	0.8
6	6	1	0.8	0.8
10	10	3	2.4	2.4
13	13	2	1.6	1.6
14	14	1	0.8	0.8
20	20	3	2.4	2.4
21	21	1	0.8	0.8
25	25	1	0.8	0.8
30	30	4	3.3	3.3
39	39	1	0.8	0.8
40	40	1	0.8	0.8
44	44	1	0.8	0.8

Survey on the Korean Social Movement after the 1960s : Organizations

45	45	1	0.8	0.8
50	50	5	4.1	4.1
51	51	1	0.8	0.8
52	52	1	0.8	0.8
54	54	1	0.8	0.8
70	70	3	2.4	2.4
90	90	1	0.8	0.8
100	100	4	3.3	3.3
120	120	1	0.8	0.8
150	150	5	4.1	4.1
171	171	1	0.8	0.8
180	180	2	1.6	1.6
200	200	7	5.7	5.7
212	212	1	0.8	0.8
256	256	1	0.8	0.8
300	300	1	0.8	0.8
310	310	1	0.8	0.8
500	500	3	2.4	2.4
800	800	1	0.8	0.8
1000	1000	3	2.4	2.4
1100	1100	1	0.8	0.8
1500	1500	1	0.8	0.8
1800	1800	1	0.8	0.8
2000	2000	4	3.3	3.3
3000	3000	1	0.8	0.8
3500	3500	1	0.8	0.8
5000	5000	1	0.8	0.8
18000	18000	1	0.8	0.8
20000	20000	1	0.8	0.8
176165	176165	1	0.8	0.8
400000	400000	1	0.8	0.8
DK/NA	999999	44	35.8	35.8
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q9_2 Number of total members in intermediate stage

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
12	12	1	0.8	0.8
14	14	1	0.8	0.8
15	15	2	1.6	1.6
20	20	1	0.8	0.8
25	25	1	0.8	0.8
30	30	2	1.6	1.6
32	32	1	0.8	0.8
46	46	1	0.8	0.8
50	50	2	1.6	1.6
90	90	1	0.8	0.8
100	100	3	2.4	2.4
150	150	3	2.4	2.4
192	192	1	0.8	0.8
200	200	4	3.3	3.3
219	219	1	0.8	0.8
220	220	1	0.8	0.8
243	243	1	0.8	0.8
250	250	1	0.8	0.8
280	280	1	0.8	0.8
300	300	2	1.6	1.6
450	450	1	0.8	0.8
500	500	1	0.8	0.8
700	700	1	0.8	0.8
1000	1000	2	1.6	1.6
1103	1103	1	0.8	0.8
1500	1500	1	0.8	0.8
1690	1690	1	0.8	0.8
2000	2000	2	1.6	1.6
2200	2200	1	0.8	0.8
2500	2500	1	0.8	0.8
3000	3000	1	0.8	0.8
3065	3065	1	0.8	0.8
6500	6500	1	0.8	0.8
7000	7000	1	0.8	0.8

10000	10000	1	0.8	0.8
1101373	1101373	1	0.8	0.8
DK/NA	9999999	74	60.2	60.2
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q9_3 Number of total members in final stage

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
0	0	1	0.8	0.8
8	8	1	0.8	0.8
11	11	1	0.8	0.8
12	12	1	0.8	0.8
14	14	1	0.8	0.8
17	17	1	0.8	0.8
19	19	1	0.8	0.8
20	20	1	0.8	0.8
28	28	1	0.8	0.8
30	30	2	1.6	1.6
36	36	1	0.8	0.8
45	45	1	0.8	0.8
46	46	1	0.8	0.8
50	50	4	3.3	3.3
55	55	1	0.8	0.8
70	70	1	0.8	0.8
100	100	3	2.4	2.4
120	120	1	0.8	0.8
140	140	1	0.8	0.8
200	200	3	2.4	2.4
250	250	2	1.6	1.6
254	254	1	0.8	0.8
259	259	1	0.8	0.8
300	300	1	0.8	0.8
310	310	1	0.8	0.8
320	320	1	0.8	0.8
350	350	1	0.8	0.8
354	354	1	0.8	0.8
361	361	1	0.8	0.8
375	375	1	0.8	0.8

Survey on the Korean Social Movement after the 1960s : Organizations

400	400	1	0.8	0.8
450	450	4	3.3	3.3
500	500	2	1.6	1.6
537	537	1	0.8	0.8
540	540	1	0.8	0.8
600	600	3	2.4	2.4
637	637	1	0.8	0.8
650	650	1	0.8	0.8
696	696	1	0.8	0.8
986	986	1	0.8	0.8
1000	1000	5	4.1	4.1
1050	1050	1	0.8	0.8
1100	1100	1	0.8	0.8
1114	1114	1	0.8	0.8
1180	1180	1	0.8	0.8
1500	1500	4	3.3	3.3
1767	1767	1	0.8	0.8
2000	2000	4	3.3	3.3
2100	2100	1	0.8	0.8
2500	2500	2	1.6	1.6
2550	2550	1	0.8	0.8
3000	3000	2	1.6	1.6
5000	5000	1	0.8	0.8
10000	10000	1	0.8	0.8
12000	12000	1	0.8	0.8
14040	14040	1	0.8	0.8
30000	30000	2	1.6	1.6
35000	35000	1	0.8	0.8
55000	55000	1	0.8	0.8
85425	85425	1	0.8	0.8
87000	87000	1	0.8	0.8
93375	93375	1	0.8	0.8
100000	100000	1	0.8	0.8
200000	200000	1	0.8	0.8
600000	600000	1	0.8	0.8
940774	940774	1	0.8	0.8
DK/NA	999999	29	23.6	23.6

Total	123	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

q10 Current status of organization compared to time of founding

Q10. What is the status of the organization compared to the time of founding?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Disbanded	1	13	10.6	10.6
Transformed	2	20	16.3	16.3
Still operating	3	86	69.9	69.9
DK/NA	9	4	3.3	3.3
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q11_1 When was organization transformed: Year

Q11. When was the organization transformed (or disbanded)? (Year)

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
1987	1987	1	0.8	2.7
1988	1988	1	0.8	2.7
1989	1989	1	0.8	2.7
1991	1991	2	1.6	5.4
1992	1992	3	2.4	8.1
1993	1993	3	2.4	8.1
1994	1994	1	0.8	2.7
1995	1995	3	2.4	8.1
1996	1996	1	0.8	2.7
1997	1997	3	2.4	8.1
1998	1998	4	3.3	10.8
1999	1999	3	2.4	8.1
2000	2000	2	1.6	5.4
2001	2001	1	0.8	2.7
2003	2003	1	0.8	2.7
NA	9999	7	5.7	18.9
NAP	8888	86	69.9	
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q11_2 When was organization transformed: Month

Q11. When was the organization transformed (or disbanded)? (Month)

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
2	2	1	0.8	2.7
5	5	5	4.1	13.5
6	6	2	1.6	5.4
7	7	2	1.6	5.4
8	8	2	1.6	5.4
9	9	2	1.6	5.4
10	10	3	2.4	8.1
12	12	1	0.8	2.7
NA	99	19	15.4	51.4
NAP	88	86	69.9	
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q12_1 How long was organization in existence: Years

Q12. How long was the organization in existence? (Years)

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
1	1	4	3.3	3.3
2	2	5	4.1	4.1
3	3	9	7.3	7.3
4	4	10	8.1	8.1
5	5	7	5.7	5.7
6	6	6	4.9	4.9
7	7	6	4.9	4.9
8	8	8	6.5	6.5
9	9	6	4.9	4.9
10	10	4	3.3	3.3
11	11	5	4.1	4.1
12	12	4	3.3	3.3
13	13	4	3.3	3.3
14	14	5	4.1	4.1
15	15	6	4.9	4.9
16	16	2	1.6	1.6

Survey on the Korean Social Movement after the 1960s : Organizations

17	17	2	1.6	1.6
19	19	3	2.4	2.4
20	20	2	1.6	1.6
21	21	1	0.8	0.8
23	23	1	0.8	0.8
24	24	1	0.8	0.8
28	28	1	0.8	0.8
29	29	2	1.6	1.6
31	31	1	0.8	0.8
33	33	1	0.8	0.8
34	34	1	0.8	0.8
41	41	1	0.8	0.8
42	42	1	0.8	0.8
45	45	2	1.6	1.6
55	55	1	0.8	0.8
81	81	1	0.8	0.8
90	90	1	0.8	0.8
100	100	1	0.8	0.8
NA	999	8	6.5	6.5
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q12_2 How long was organization in existence: Months

Q12. How long was the organization in existence? (Months)

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
1	1	4	3.3	3.3
2	2	1	0.8	0.8
3	3	6	4.9	4.9
4	4	3	2.4	2.4
5	5	4	3.3	3.3
6	6	8	6.5	6.5
7	7	9	7.3	7.3
8	8	6	4.9	4.9
9	9	2	1.6	1.6
10	10	5	4.1	4.1
11	11	3	2.4	2.4
NA	99	72	58.5	58.5

Total	123	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

q13 Yes/No: Organization had mission statement

Q13. Does or did the organization have a mission statement (or policy platform)?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Yes	1	106	86.2	86.2
Do (Did) not have a formal Mission Statement but have (had) something similar	2	9	7.3	7.3
No	3	6	4.9	4.9
NA	9	2	1.6	1.6
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q13_1_1 Mission statement content(1)

Q13-1. From the list below, please check the items contained in the Mission Statement (or policy platform) and write a detailed description. (Please choose up to 3 items.)

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Political democratization	1	25	20.3	21.4
Financial equality and realization of people's right to live	2	6	4.9	5.1
Educational Issues	3	9	7.3	7.7
Environmental Issues	4	7	5.7	6.0
Women's issues	5	8	6.5	6.8
Human Rights and Anti-Discrimination	6	18	14.6	15.4
Labor Issues	7	8	6.5	6.8
Agricultural Issues	8	1	0.8	0.9
Poverty Issues	9	2	1.6	1.7
Unification Issues	10	15	12.2	12.8
Anti-War and Aim for Peace	11	2	1.6	1.7
Overcome Foreign Influence and Secure Independence	12	5	4.1	4.3
Joint activity with other organizations	13	2	1.6	1.7
Other	14	4	3.3	3.4
NA	99	5	4.1	4.3
NAP	88	6	4.9	
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q13_1_2 Mission statement content(2)

Q13-1. From the list below, please check the items contained in the Mission Statement (or policy platform) and write a detailed description. (Please choose up to 3 items.)

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Political democratization	1	12	9.8	10.3
Financial equality and realization of people's right to live	2	21	17.1	17.9
Educational Issues	3	5	4.1	4.3
Environmental Issues	4	4	3.3	3.4
Women's issues	5	5	4.1	4.3
Human Rights and Anti-Discrimination	6	8	6.5	6.8
Labor Issues	7	6	4.9	5.1
Agricultural Issues	8	1	0.8	0.9
Poverty Issues	9	1	0.8	0.9
Unification Issues	10	8	6.5	6.8
Anti-War and Aim for Peace	11	11	8.9	9.4
Overcome Foreign Influence and Secure Independence	12	5	4.1	4.3
Joint activity with other organizations	13	9	7.3	7.7
Other	14	1	0.8	0.9
NA	99	20	16.3	17.1
NAP	88	6	4.9	
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q13_1_3 Mission statement content(3)

Q13-1. From the list below, please check the items contained in the Mission Statement (or policy platform) and write a detailed description. (Please choose up to 3 items.)

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Political democratization	1	11	8.9	9.4
Financial equality and realization of people's right to live	2	11	8.9	9.4
Educational Issues	3	4	3.3	3.4
Environmental Issues	4	3	2.4	2.6
Women's issues	5	2	1.6	1.7
Human Rights and Anti-Discrimination	6	13	10.6	11.1
Labor Issues	7	4	3.3	3.4
Agricultural Issues	8	1	0.8	0.9

Poverty Issues	9	2	1.6	1.7
Unification Issues	10	9	7.3	7.7
Anti-War and Aim for Peace	11	4	3.3	3.4
Overcome Foreign Influence and Secure Independence	12	8	6.5	6.8
Joint activity with other organizations	13	6	4.9	5.1
Other	14	1	0.8	0.9
NA	99	38	30.9	32.5
NAP	88	6	4.9	
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q13_1_4 Mission statement content(4)

Q13-1. From the list below, please check the items contained in the Mission Statement (or policy platform) and write a detailed description. (Please choose up to 3 items.)

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Political democratization	1	2	1.6	1.7
Financial equality and realization of people's right to live	2	2	1.6	1.7
Environmental Issues	4	2	1.6	1.7
Women's issues	5	3	2.4	2.6
Human Rights and Anti-Discrimination	6	1	0.8	0.9
Labor Issues	7	3	2.4	2.6
Agricultural Issues	8	2	1.6	1.7
Poverty Issues	9	1	0.8	0.9
Anti-War and Aim for Peace	11	5	4.1	4.3
Overcome Foreign Influence and Secure Independence	12	6	4.9	5.1
Joint activity with other organizations	13	8	6.5	6.8
NA	99	82	66.7	70.1
NAP	88	6	4.9	
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q13_2 Reason for not having mission statement

Q13-2. What was the reason for not having a mission statement?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Did not attempt to obtain consensus on political		1	0.8	0.8
Did not feel the need to create a systematic set of guidelines		1	0.8	0.8

Survey on the Korean Social Movement after the 1960s : Organizations

Due to the fear of forcing a singular thought or action	1	0.8	0.8
NA	2	1.6	1.6
NAP	117	95.1	95.1
Security problem	1	0.8	0.8
Total	123	100.0	100.0

q14_1 Organization's major area of activity(1)

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Politics	1	38	30.9	30.9
Students	3	5	4.1	4.1
Labor	4	15	12.2	12.2
Political Opposition	5	3	2.4	2.4
Education	6	11	8.9	8.9
Human Rights	7	21	17.1	17.1
Culture and Arts	8	2	1.6	1.6
Scholarship	9	1	0.8	0.8
Unification	10	10	8.1	8.1
Peace	11	4	3.3	3.3
Environment	12	6	4.9	4.9
Women's Rights	13	1	0.8	0.8
Religion	14	1	0.8	0.8
Citizenship (Surveillance, Criticism)	15	2	1.6	1.6
Civic Movement for Livelihood	16	2	1.6	1.6
Other	17	1	0.8	0.8
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q14_2 Organization's major area of activity(2)

Q14. What are the organization's major areas of activity?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Economy	2	6	4.9	4.9
Students	3	4	3.3	3.3
Labor	4	10	8.1	8.1
Political Opposition	5	8	6.5	6.5
Education	6	7	5.7	5.7

Survey on the Korean Social Movement after the 1960s : Organizations

Human Rights	7	15	12.2	12.2
Culture and Arts	8	2	1.6	1.6
Unification	10	11	8.9	8.9
Peace	11	10	8.1	8.1
Environment	12	2	1.6	1.6
Women's Rights	13	8	6.5	6.5
Religion	14	5	4.1	4.1
Citizenship (Surveillance, Criticism)	15	16	13.0	13.0
Civic Movement for Livelihood	16	5	4.1	4.1
Other	17	2	1.6	1.6
NA	99	12	9.8	9.8
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q14_3 Organization's major area of activity(3)

Q14. What are the organization's major areas of activity?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Politics	1	1	0.8	0.8
Labor	4	4	3.3	3.3
Political Opposition	5	2	1.6	1.6
Education	6	4	3.3	3.3
Human Rights	7	8	6.5	6.5
Culture and Arts	8	1	0.8	0.8
Scholarship	9	3	2.4	2.4
Unification	10	9	7.3	7.3
Peace	11	4	3.3	3.3
Environment	12	2	1.6	1.6
Women's Rights	13	8	6.5	6.5
Religion	14	13	10.6	10.6
Citizenship (Surveillance, Criticism)	15	16	13.0	13.0
Civic Movement for Livelihood	16	16	13.0	13.0
Other	17	1	0.8	0.8
NA	99	31	25.2	25.2
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q15_1 Reason for organization becoming publicly known

15. What was the most important reason or incident for the organization becoming publicly known?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Self-publicity from the early stages of founding the organization	1	51	41.5	41.5
Became known to and oppressed by government security and control agencies	2	25	20.3	20.3
Organization's activities were covered by the media	3	33	26.8	26.8
Other	4	11	8.9	8.9
NA	9	3	2.4	2.4
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q16_1 Event that made organization stand out(1)

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q16_2 Event that made organization stand out(2)

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q16_3 Event that made organization stand out(3)

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q17 Direction of future society that organization aimed for(1)

Q17. What is the direction of the future society that the organization aimed for?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Reform within current system (free democracy)	1	26	21.1	21.1
Improving changes within capitalist system (social democracy)	2	26	21.1	21.1
Fundamental changes overcoming the capitalist system (socialism/communism)	3	13	10.6	10.6
Pursue fundamentally different systems	4	44	35.8	35.8
NA	9	14	11.4	11.4
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q17_1 Direction of future society that organization aimed for(2)

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q18 Social change theory organization (un)officially pursued

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q19 Yes/No: Organization had bylaws/regulations

Q19. Does (Did) the organization have bylaws (or regulations)?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Yes	1	117	95.1	95.1
No	2	6	4.9	4.9
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q20_1 How did organization raise operating funds(1)

Q20. How does the organization raise operating funds? In case the choices are related, please rank them in the order of importance.

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Membership dues	1	93	75.6	75.6
Government grants	2	7	5.7	5.7
Individual benefactors	3	6	4.9	4.9
Support from foreign organizations and groups	4	3	2.4	2.4
For-profit activities	5	1	0.8	0.8
Fundraising activities	6	8	6.5	6.5
Other	7	5	4.1	4.1
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q20_2 How did organization raise operating funds(2)

Q20. How does the organization raise operating funds? In case the choices are related, please rank them in the order of importance.

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Membership dues	1	12	9.8	9.8
Government grants	2	14	11.4	11.4
Individual benefactors	3	20	16.3	16.3
Support from foreign organizations and groups	4	8	6.5	6.5

Survey on the Korean Social Movement after the 1960s : Organizations

For-profit activities	5	8	6.5	6.5
Fundraising activities	6	31	25.2	25.2
Other	7	4	3.3	3.3
NA	9	26	21.1	21.1
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q20_3 How did organization raise operating funds(3)

Q20. How does the organization raise operating funds? In case the choices are related, please rank them in the order of importance.

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Membership dues	1	5	4.1	4.1
Government grants	2	10	8.1	8.1
Individual benefactors	3	14	11.4	11.4
Support from foreign organizations and groups	4	9	7.3	7.3
For-profit activities	5	5	4.1	4.1
Fundraising activities	6	20	16.3	16.3
Other	7	6	4.9	4.9
NA	9	54	43.9	43.9
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q20_4 How did organization raise operating funds(4)

Q20. How does the organization raise operating funds? In case the choices are related, please rank them in the order of importance.

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Membership dues	1	2	1.6	1.6
Government grants	2	3	2.4	2.4
Individual benefactors	3	6	4.9	4.9
Support from foreign organizations and groups	4	6	4.9	4.9
For-profit activities	5	2	1.6	1.6
Fundraising activities	6	7	5.7	5.7
Other	7	2	1.6	1.6
NA	9	95	77.2	77.2
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q20_5 How did organization raise operating funds(5)

Q20. How does the organization raise operating funds? In case the choices are related, please rank them in the order of importance.

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Membership dues	1	1	0.8	0.8
Government grants	2	2	1.6	1.6
Individual benefactors	3	2	1.6	1.6
For-profit activities	5	2	1.6	1.6
Fundraising activities	6	1	0.8	0.8
NA	9	115	93.5	93.5
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q21 How are tasks of organization divided

Q21. How are the tasks of the organization's activities divided?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Formal division of labor (Formal departments are setup)	1	102	82.9	82.9
Informal division of labor (No formal departments are setup but division of labor is setup)	2	18	14.6	14.6
Without formal division of labor, matters are handled arbitrarily	3	2	1.6	1.6
Other	4	1	0.8	0.8
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q22 How does organization decide on its direction/activities

Q22. How does the organization decide on its direction and activities?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Bottom up method of decision making with the participation of the entire organization	1	84	68.3	68.3
Top down method of decision making by the leadership of the organization	2	29	23.6	23.6
Decision making based on personal relationships (friendship or connections) of members	3	1	0.8	0.8
Other	4	9	7.3	7.3
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q23 Common way of recruiting new members

Q23. What is the most common way the organization recruits new members?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Public Recruiting	1	70	56.9	56.9
Private Recruiting	2	18	14.6	14.6
Different means depending on circumstances	3	35	28.5	28.5
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q24_1 Process organization used to recruit new members(1)

24. What process does the organization use to recruit new members? Please rank the applicable choices in the order of importance.

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
There was no additional recruitment after an initial membership drive	1	2	1.6	1.6
Through a formal recruitment process	2	81	65.9	65.9
Informal recruitment through school alumni connections	3	12	9.8	9.8
Informal recruitment through regional acquaintances	4	3	2.4	2.4
Informal recruitment through existing member connections (except school and regional connections)	5	18	14.6	14.6
Other	6	7	5.7	5.7
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q24_2 Process organization used to recruit new members(2)

24. What process does the organization use to recruit new members? Please rank the applicable choices in the order of importance.

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Through a formal recruitment process	2	8	6.5	16.0
Informal recruitment through school alumni connections	3	12	9.8	24.0
Informal recruitment through regional acquaintances	4	5	4.1	10.0
Informal recruitment through existing member connections (except school and regional connections)	5	21	17.1	42.0
Other	6	4	3.3	8.0
System missing		73	59.3	
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q24_3 Process organization used to recruit new members(3)

24. What process does the organization use to recruit new members? Please rank the applicable choices in the order of importance.

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Through a formal recruitment process	2	2	1.6	6.7
Informal recruitment through school alumni connections	3	12	9.8	40.0
Informal recruitment through regional acquaintances	4	7	5.7	23.3
Informal recruitment through existing member connections (except school and regional connections)	5	8	6.5	26.7
Other	6	1	0.8	3.3
System missing		93	75.6	
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q25 Common way of leaving organization

Q25. What is the most common way members leave the organization?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Through a formal withdrawal procedure	1	63	51.2	51.2
Through an informal withdrawal procedure	2	10	8.1	8.1
Implicitly accept individual's withdrawal	3	43	35.0	35.0
Leaving the organization is virtually impossible	4	2	1.6	1.6
Other	5	5	4.1	4.1
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q26_1 How did organization internally share ideology and activities(1)

Q26. How does the organization internally share its ideology and direction of activities? (If more than 2, please rank them in the order of importance.)

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Through discussions and debates	1	110	89.4	89.4
Through documents and guidebooks	2	9	7.3	7.3
Through public and private lectures	3	1	0.8	0.8
Through training and seminar	4	2	1.6	1.6
Other	5	1	0.8	0.8
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q26_2 How did organization internally share ideology and activities(2)

Q26. How does the organization internally share its ideology and direction of activities? (If more than 2, please rank them in the order of importance.)

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Through discussions and debates	1	7	5.7	10.4
Through documents and guidebooks	2	30	24.4	44.8
Through public and private lectures	3	8	6.5	11.9
Through training and seminar	4	22	17.9	32.8
System missing		56	45.5	
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q26_3 How did organization internally share ideology and activities(3)

Q26. How does the organization internally share its ideology and direction of activities? (If more than 2, please rank them in the order of importance.)

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Through documents and guidebooks	2	4	3.3	26.7
Through public and private lectures	3	8	6.5	53.3
Through training and seminar	4	3	2.4	20.0
System missing		108	87.8	
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q27_1 Name of major activist in organization(1)

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q27_2 Name of major activist in organization(2)

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q27_3 Name of major activist in organization(3)

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q27_4 Name of major activist in organization(4)

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q27_5 Name of major activist in organization(5)

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q27_6 Name of major activist in organization(6)

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q28 Did organization have separate branch organizations

Q28. Does (Did) the organization have separate branch organizations?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
There was a central organization but no branch organizations	1	41	33.3	33.3
There were branch organizations	2	76	61.8	61.8
NA	9	6	4.9	4.9
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q28_1 Motivation for establishing branch organization

Q28-1. What was the main motivation for establishing branch organization?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Formed by representatives dispatched from the central organization	1	5	4.1	6.1
Proposed by similar activists and organization from other regions	2	57	46.3	69.5
Other	3	9	7.3	11.0
NA	9	11	8.9	13.4
NAP	0	41	33.3	
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q28_2 How are central and branch organizations connect to each other

Q28-2. How are the central and branch organizations connect to each other?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Through official channels	1	67	54.5	81.7
Work independently without special connections	3	6	4.9	7.3
NA	9	9	7.3	11.0
NAP	0	41	33.3	
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q29 Yes/No: There was conflict within organization

Q29. Is (Was) there any conflict within the organization?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Yes	1	76	61.8	61.8
No	2	39	31.7	31.7
NA	9	8	6.5	6.5
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q29_1 Critical conflict within organization

Q29-1. Which was the most critical conflict within the organization?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Conflict regarding ideology and policy line	1	26	21.1	31.0
Conflict regarding organization's operation	2	35	28.5	41.7
Conflict regarding relationships among members	3	5	4.1	6.0
Conflict regarding power (conflict of positions and roles)	4	4	3.3	4.8
Other	5	4	3.3	4.8
NA	9	10	8.1	11.9
NAP	0	39	31.7	
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q30 Yes/No: Organization had interactions with foreign organizations

Q30. Do (Did) the organization have any ties or interactions with foreign organizations or groups?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Yes	1	79	64.2	64.2
No	2	40	32.5	32.5
NA	9	4	3.3	3.3
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q30_1_1 Name of foreign organization and form of ties(1)

q30_1_2 Name of foreign organization and form of ties(2)

q30_2 Person in charge of handling interactions with foreign organization

q31 Yes/No: Organization had interactions with domestic organizations

Q31. Do (Did) the organization have any ties or interactions with domestic organizations or groups?
(Example of ties and interactions: training, finance, personnel dispatch, political pressure, assistance with asylum or escape)

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Yes	1	111	90.2	90.2
No	2	8	6.5	6.5
NA	9	4	3.3	3.3
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q31_1_1 Name of domestic organization and form of ties(1)

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q31_1_2 Name of domestic organization and form of ties(2)

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q31_1_3 Name of domestic organization and form of ties(3)

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q31_1_4 Name of domestic organization and form of ties(4)

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q31_1_5 Name of domestic organization and form of ties(5)

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q31_2 Qualification that person interacting with other organizations had

Q31-2. What was the most important qualification considered when selecting the person in charge of communication or interaction with other organizations or groups?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Relationship with internal role and duties	1	100	81.3	87.0
Personal relationship with members of other organizations	2	4	3.3	3.5
NA	9	11	8.9	9.6
NAP	0	8	6.5	
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q32 Reason for disbanding organization

Q32. What was the most important reason for disbanding the organization?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Government oppression	1	3	2.4	30.0
Goals changed	3	2	1.6	20.0
Conflict among members	4	3	2.4	30.0
Other	5	2	1.6	20.0
System missing		113	91.9	
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q33 There is any network being maintained after disbanding organization

Q33. After the organization disbanded, is there any network being maintained?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
There are regularly scheduled official meetings	1	2	1.6	25.0
There are irregularly scheduled official meetings	2	1	0.8	12.5
There are informal communications between close acquaintances	3	4	3.3	50.0
Other	6	1	0.8	12.5
System missing		115	93.5	
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q34 Reason for not forming new organization

Q34. What is the reason for not forming a new organization or rebuild the organization?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Couldn't agree on the goals and direction of the organization	1	5	4.1	55.6
The organization was integrated into another organization	4	3	2.4	33.3
Other	5	1	0.8	11.1
System missing		114	92.7	
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q35 Reason for transformation of organization

Q35. What was the reason for the transformation of the organization?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Government oppression	1	1	0.8	8.3
Goals achieved	2	1	0.8	8.3
Goals changed	3	4	3.3	33.3
Conflict among members	4	2	1.6	16.7
Other	5	4	3.3	33.3
System missing		111	90.2	
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q36_1 Name of newly transformed organization

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q36_2 Goal of newly transformed organization

==> For more information, please refer to the raw data.

q37 Form of new organization

Q37. What is the form of the new organization?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Simple restructuring of the organization (expansive or contractive reproduction)	5	10	8.1	100.0
System missing		113	91.9	
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q38 Percentage of former organization's members in new organization

Q38. What percentage of the new organization's membership consists of the members of the former organization?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
50	50	1	0.8	12.5
80	80	3	2.4	37.5
90	90	2	1.6	25.0
100	100	2	1.6	25.0
System missing		115	93.5	
Total		123	100.0	100.0

q39 Transformed organization's goal compared to former one

Q39. Are the transformed organization's goals the same as the former organization's?

RESPONSE	PUNCH	FREQ.	PERCENT	VALID %
Identical	1	8	6.5	88.9
Identical in part	2	1	0.8	11.1
System missing		114	92.7	
Total		123	100.0	100.0